Approved For Release 2004/02/02 ÐP60-00594A0003004*10040-8 Document No. MO CHANGE in Class. C. Ladivida Co. Claus. CHARGED TO: DDA Mimo, 4 Apr 77 15 October 1958 Date: 13 MAR 1978 By MEMORANDUM FOR: Director of Training

SUBJECT

25X1

25X1

: Weekly Report #41

Assessment and Evaluation Staff

8-14 October 1958

from the Office of Personnel discussed with C/A&E the possibility of obtaining assistance in the training evaluation aspects of the course the Office of Personnel is planning for its own personnel officers. It was agreed that the A&E Staff would participate in two ways: (1) By limited participation of its personnel in that part of the teaching program concerning the JOT program and the functions of the A&E Staff, and (2) By assisting the instructor in managing the course from the standpoint of effective teaching, and assisting in the development of evaluation material.

2. C/A&E discussed with the possibility of using the Basic Management and Supervision courses as a medium for informing supervisors on the uses of the Assessment and Evaluation Staff. It does not seem appropriate to include a lecture on the functions of the A&E Staff; rather the instructors in these courses should be thoroughly familiar with A&E functions so that they can bring A&E services up as appropriate during case discussion. With the intent, therefore, of accomplishing this briefing, will endeavor to attend the next CSR lecture that C/AkE gives.

3. The Research Branch has completed a study on the relationship of aptitude and proficiency test scores to successful completion of the clerical refresher courses--Typing Techniques Review and Shorthand Theory Review courses. Probably the most significant finding concerns the Shorthand Theory Review. Those returned to the refresher course are, in general, those with less aptitude than an EOD sample of stenographers. The aptitude of those who do not meet the refresher course standard is still less. The implication of these findings is that our hiring standards may be too low where stenographers are concerned. This study points out one of the hidden costs, e.g., extra training required when minimal hiring standards tend to be low. At present, the A&E Staff is making no recommendations to C/IS, who requested this study originally. These findings will be discussed with him in detail. Following this, it is intended to discuss them with C/POD/OP.

25X1

25X1

Approved For Release 2004/02/03 3 PART P60-00594A000300110040-8

Weekly Report #41

4. Attached is a memorandum on a recent debriefing of a JOT
resignee. In this instance, I don't think we uncovered anything
that would lead to a conclusion different than that of C/JOTP. I
thought you might be interested in some of the greater detail, hence
the attachment to this report.
2070

5. JOT Training Program: On 13 October C/TEB
had a discussion with C/JOTP regarding the content of training evalua-
tion reports on JOT's. Specifically, they considered the applicability
of kinds of statements included in a recent training evaluation report
received by C/JOTP from the Foreign Service Institute. In our judg-
ment, the plans for training evaluation reports in the JOT Integrated
Training Program should facilitate observing and reporting the kinds
of information C/JOTP wants.

6.	continued to work with instructors in the Survey
of International Co	mmunism Course on the development of examination
scoring guides and	procedures. In addition, she
worked with	Chief Instructor for the course, on developing
a written student b	riefing for the project work, which will occupy
some <u>30 hours o</u> f ea	ch student's time. Also arrangements were made
with on u	se of the Instructor Observation Report Form in
nis course.	

7.			W	as	elected	d as	a l	Fellow	in	Evaluation	and
Measuremen	t at	the	September	me	eetings	of	the	Americ	an	Psychologic	cal
Associatio	n.										

Chief, Assessment and Evaluation Staff

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

25X1

Next 1 Page(s) In Document Exempt